

# **Computer-assisted FAST exams for in-field triage**

Sean Montgomery, M.D.<sup>2</sup> Luv Kohli, Ph.D.<sup>3</sup> Bradley Freeman, M.D.<sup>4</sup>, Deborah Shipley Kane, M.D.<sup>5</sup>

## ABSTRACT

- Far-forward, medical personnel lack portable, easy-to-use diagnostic devices to detect intra-abdominal bleeding (IAB).
- We propose that in-field ultrasound systems that combined
  - rugged, low-cost, highly portable ultrasound probes,
  - "Ultrasound Spectroscopy" imaging protocols and advanced machine learning algorithms [Aylward 2016], and
  - intuitive graphical user interfaces
  - can be used by far-forward medical personnel for the pre-hospital identification of trauma patients that require priority, life-saving treatment and transport to address severe IAB or elevated intracranial pressure as indicated by an increase optic nerve sheath diameter
  - [Aylward 2017 Podium Presentation @ SOMSA 2017]
- This poster focuses on Ultrasound Spectroscopy and machine learning algorithms for automated detection of IAB.
- Tissue phantoms demonstrated that our system can provide dramatic improvement over b-mode ultrasound for computer-assisted blood detection.

## BACKGROUND

- The Focused Assessment with Sonography in Trauma (FAST) exam has the potential to diagnosis IAB.
- When in-field ultrasound is conducted by experts, patient management is altered in 37% of cases. [Walcher 2002]
- Even after hours of training, pre-hospital personnel are not sufficiently proficient in FAST for over 48% of trauma patients. [Melanson 2001]
- There is no currently available technology to allow medics or physicians with limited ultrasound training to perform FAST.
- For American soldiers, 67% of prehospital potentially survivable deaths [Eastridge 2012] and 48% of potentially survivable patients that died of wounds [Eastridge 2011] were from truncal injuries. While some penetrating injuries to the trunk are obvious, for many fragmentation and vehicle related injuries trauma within the pleural or peritoneal cavities can be subtle.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- This work was funded, in part, by the following grants:
  - Completed: NIH/NIBIB: "In-field FAST procedure support and automation" (R43EB016621)
  - Completed: NIH/NINDS: "Multimodality image-based assessment system for traumatic brain injury" (R44NS081792)
  - NIH/NIGMS/NIBIB: "Slicer+PLUS: Collaborative, open-source software for ultrasound analysis" (R01EB021396)

Stephen Aylward, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Matt McCormick, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>, Maeliss Jallais<sup>1</sup>, Sam Gerber, Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

## LOW-COST ULTRASOUND PROBES

- Interson SiMPLi<sup>™</sup> Series
- Linear Array (Samll Parts) / Convex Array (General Purpose)
- Variable focal depths, beam steering
- Variable powers and frequencies
- Scan depth: 10 cm / 20cm
- Exports B-mode and <u>RF data</u> via <u>USB</u>
- Target price: \$700-\$1200

## ULTRASOUND SPECTROSCOPY

### **B-Mode Imaging**

- A general-purpose visualization method.
- Each pixel is a single value from a single pulse. 1) Pulse is centered on a single frequency, at one power 2) Each pixel is the power envelope of a window of the returned RF signal, i.e., strength of signal integrated across a period of time.
- 3) Data is shown as a gray-scale image
- Under utilization of ultrasound pulse generation capabilities
- Over-simplification of returned signal
- Images vary by a small amount per probe, due to manufacturing variations

### **Ultrasound Spectroscopy**

- An application-specific acquisition and analysis method.
- Each pixel is a collection of RF signal features that summarize RF returns from multiple powers and multiple frequencies. 0) Probe normalization (eliminates manufacturing variations)
  - "Quantitative Ultrasound": planar reflector signal
  - 1) Pulses are generated at multiple powers and at multiple frequencies, with the RF return from each recorded.
  - 2) Each pixel summarizes each signal in its multiple RF windows by fitting polynomials to it:
    - Chebyshev Polynomial, Legendre Polynomial, Linear Fit (Slope, Intercept), Backscatter Coefficients
  - Polynomial coefficients are compact "features"
  - 102 features at each pixel, RF polynomial coefficients from 6 power/frequency combinations
  - 3) Classification at each pixel is performed using its 102 features, using a random forest classifier [LibRF]

- <sup>1</sup> Kitware, Inc., Carrboro, NC
- <sup>2</sup> Surgery, Duke University School of Medicine, Durham, NC
- <sup>3</sup> InnerOptic, Hillsborough, NC
- <sup>4</sup> Surgery and <sup>5</sup>Emergency Medicine, Washington Univ. School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO



512

normalization, computed once per probe [Lavarello 2011]



RF returns, each at a
different power and
frequency

	Power	Freq.
1	15%	2.5
2	15%	3.5
3	15%	5.0
4	30%	2.5
5	30%	3.5
6	30%	5.0
	•	

## EXPERIMENTS





- Two different phantoms, with different compositions, were used for training and testing data
- True-positive and false-positive rates were computed using hand-labeled truth.
- **Table 1:**

different powers and frequencies

**Table 2:** 

frequency are selected.

## CONCLUSIONS

- Ultrasound Spectroscopy achieved 0.948 TRP and 0.005 FPR, outperforming previous techniques and providing important features for distinguishing blood from tissue.
- We are able to replicate and outperform related worked by others.
  - E.g., 0.74 TRP and 0.08 FPR using Backscatter, Slope, and Intercept parameters with a random forest classifier [Lavarello 2011]
- Significant work remains...
  - User interface (screen mounted on probe, to provide intuitive instructions)
  - Novel image generation: "IAB mode" is an ultrasound data visualization method that shows probability of blood at each pixel, instead of b-mode.
  - Optimize components of the system: power and frequency selection and classifier selection (e.g., deep learning)
  - is slowly added.





- (1) Automated labels using **B-Mode** values from six
- (2) Automated labels using Ultrasound Spectroscopy from same set of powers and frequencies as in (1).
- Factor analysis select most informative features for blood detection. Coefficients from every power and

### Table 2: Informative Ultrasound **Spectroscopy Features**

Pwr	Freq	Feature	
15	25	Chebyshev	Coef 3
15	35	Chebyshev	Coef 4
15	35	Legendre	Coef 2
15	35	Legendre	Coef 6
15	50	Chebyshev	Coef 3
15	50	Chebyshev	Coef 5
15	50	Legendre	Coef 3
30	25	Chebyshev	Coef 4
30	25	Legendre	Coef 0
30	25	Legendre	Coef 3
30	35	Chebyshev	Coef 4
30	35	Legendre	Coef 2

Conduct study on patients with ascites and peritoneal dialysis patients as dialysate